UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE TERRITORY OF GUAM

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

SALLY CRUZ ROBERTO,

Defendant.

CRIMINAL CASE NO. 21-00019-3

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the Defendant's motion for a new trial (Dkt. No. 189), which the Court DENIES for the reasons explained herein.

Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 33 allows this Court to grant a new trial "if the interest of justice so requires." This decision rests solely within this Court's discretion. *U.S. v. Love*, 535 F.2d 1152, 1157 (9th Cir. 1976). Here, Defendant asserts that a new trial is warranted because of the Court's dismissal of prospective jurors on the basis of their COVID-19 vaccination status. (Dkt. No. 189 at 2.) Defendant asserts those persons may have constituted a sufficiently distinctive group that their dismissal resulted in structural error. (*Id.* at 6.)

Defendant points the Court to no cases supporting the proposition that such persons are, in fact, a distinctive group, as there are many reasons driving an individual's decision regarding COVID-19 vaccination. (*See generally* Dkt. No. 189.) Moreover, the Court is aware of no such cases. Instead, to the extent this Court can divine, all courts that have addressed the issue have

ORDER CRIMINAL CASE NO. 21-00019-3 PAGE - 1

Case 1:21-cr-00019 Document 190 Filed 02/17/23 Page 1 of 2

1	found that such persons do not constitute a distinct group. See, e.g., U.S. v. Nelson, 2022 WL
2	1093661, slip op. (N.D. Cal. 2022); Joffe v. King & Spalding LLP, 575 F. Supp. 3d 427, 434
3	(S.D.N.Y. 2021). And the Court sees no reason to depart from these rulings here.
4	Accordingly, Defendant's motion for a new trial (Dkt. No. 189) is DENIED.
5	DATED this 17th day of February 2023.
6	
7	John C Cogher an
8	
9	John C. Coughenour UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
$\begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}$	
23 24	
24 25	
$\begin{bmatrix} 25 \\ 26 \end{bmatrix}$	
20	

ORDER CRIMINAL CASE NO. 21-00019-3 PAGE - 2